Analysing and interpreting qualitative data using ATLAS.ti 2

**Lecturer: Gerben Moerman**
Lecturer, Department of Sociology and Anthropology.

Office: Spinhuis A0.02, Oudezijds Achterburgwal 185.

Office hours: by appointment – just drop me an email and we can agree a mutually convenient time

Email: gmoerman@uva.nl

**Course Contents**
Already in 1990, Renata Tesch wrote a book on how to use computers for 27 different analysis methods. However, recent publications such as Macmillan’s (2005) show that many qualitative analysts are not impressed with the usefulness of software. Qualitative data analysis software are well accepted for so called more “systematic” or more rigour approaches, but often not for more interpretative methods. In this second QDA course we will focus on the use of ATLAS.ti in order to assist different genres of qualitative analysis, such as Phenomenology, Phenomenography, Frame analysis, Ethnomethodology & Conversation analysis, Discourse analysis and Narrative analysis. In each seminar one of the genres will be presented, discussed and critically assessed on the feasibility of using software for this type of analysis.

**Format:**
The seminars are based on four teaching methods: Introductory lectures, class discussion of the reading material, computer seminars and individual or group work. Every week, you are required to do all readings in advance, and invited to participate actively in the collective discussion. In the computer seminars we will work with ATLAS.ti and see what choices are made in different genres of qualitative analysis. Attendance is mandatory.

**Place and time:**
REC-P 1.27 (computer room)
Fridays from 9 to 13 (sometimes 12).

**Objectives**
The learning objectives for this course are that students after this course will have gained insight in Qualitative analysis in general and more specifically on approaches after the linguistic turn, such as Phenomenology, Ethnomethodology, Discourse Analysis, Narrative Analysis, Frame analysis, Semiotics and Phenomenography. After the course, students will be able to analyse qualitative data using one of these genres and ATLAS.ti.

**Assessment:**
Assessment will be based on class discussions and pitches (5%), Weekly quests of literature (25%) four assignments (30%) and a final assignment (40%). Anti-plagiarism rules apply. Resits will be only possible for the final assignment, the Rules and Guidelines for the Master’s programme apply.
he report (in word document or pdf) is to be uploaded to Ephorus on Blackboard as well.

**Weekly Quest for Literature (25%)**
The literature we read in this course is rather theoretical or methodological. Therefore, all students search for two articles for a relevant application of one of the genres we use that week (week 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) See for the exact genres the bold and italic genres in the week schedule. The article has to bear some relevance for your own analysis for your final assignment. You have to post the reference (and link), a copy of the abstract and a single-paragraph discussion why this article might be interesting for your own analysis.

The *Weekly quest for literature* is marked with pass or fail only (5% per week).

**Assignment 1: Present Your Data (10%)**
For the final assignment every student needs to do a qualitative analysis using one of the genres discussed in this course. What does an interpretative epistemology based on phenomenology mean for your data?

In this first assignment every student “elevator pitches” the data he or she want to use for the final assignment. Aspects to discuss are the topic of the data, the form of the data as well as the feasibility of using ATLAS.ti on these data. Create a short (single page 200 words maximum) handout for your fellow students and your lecturer (about 26 copies).

The assignment is marked with pass or fail only.

The handout is to be uploaded to Blackboard before April 19th, 2013, 9.00.

**Assignment 2: Discuss Your Genre (10%)**
Write a short discussion proposal on the genre of qualitative analysis you use in order to analyse your data for your final assignment. Per genre a thread will be created on the BB forum in which you can post your proposal and one or more statements. In class we split up in groups per genre, in order to discuss some statements. Read the online discussions in your own thread before joining class. (if there are not enough statements in your genre, select another thread to join).

Maximum length: 300 words

To be uploaded to Blackboard before April 25th, 2013, 9.00 and the statements are to be taken to class on paper on April 26th.

The assignment is marked with pass or fail only.

**Assignment 3: Share Your Problems (5%)**
Post a short description of your analysis process so far on the BB-forum. Start with a short introduction in which you explain your research question, followed by a short description of how you’ve approached your analysis. Share your problems and think aloud about possible solutions. Be prepared to discuss at least one of those questions in class.

Maximum length: 500 words

To be taken to class on paper and uploaded to Blackboard on May 3rd, 2013, 9.00.

The assignment is marked with pass or fail only.

**Assignment 4: Help Your Friends (5%)**
React intelligently to at least three posts on the forum before May 10th, 2013, 9.00.

The assignment is marked with pass or fail only.
Final Assignment: Methodological report on qualitative analysis (40%)
The final assignment is a qualitative analysis and report on it. The analysis could be on any topic, using on of the genre of qualitative analysis we discuss in this course, using any kind of digital data. Since you are free to choose your own topic and genre, the type of data collection is up to you. However, since this course is not on qualitative data collection (although that shows a terrible single-mindedness), and qualitative data collection in classical ways would take a lot of time, I would suggest you to use existing materials. Those materials could be anything, such as transcribed interviews, policy documents, observations, pictures, movies, songs, maps, newspaper articles, letters to the editors, tweets, internet forum discussions, YouTube comments, TED-seminars or whatever you can think of.

In the past many of my students have for chosen for newspaper articles using Lexis Nexis. I will briefly describe how it works, but using it is not obligatory.

LexisNexis is a digital newspaper archive that contains a large number of contributions from newspapers. Access to the archive is free within the UvA-domain (and via VPN). You can use a powerful search engine to select documents. Think and reflect on the sampling method.

Obviously while choosing topic and data, you should also delve into the method. In small groups we will assist other students in this delving and their analytical choices and technical issues.

The main focus of the report, however, will be the methodology used for the qualitative analysis.

To be handed in on paper in my pigeonhole at the Spinhuis (a.002) and uploaded to Blackboard on May 24th, 2013, 17.00.

Length: between 2600 and 3400 words  (Not including appendices)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>First half of the session</th>
<th>Second half of the session</th>
<th>Background literature</th>
<th>Specific Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 1</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>Apr. 5</strong></td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td>Explanation of Assignment 1</td>
<td>Friese (2012) intro &amp; chapter 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Groupwork 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Explanation of Weekly Quest for Literature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Explanation of Final Assignment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 7</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>May. 17</strong></td>
<td>Pitch reflection</td>
<td>Evaluation</td>
<td>Wolcott (2002)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 8</strong>&lt;br&gt;<strong>May. 24</strong></td>
<td>No Class only</td>
<td>Deadline final report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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